

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION

MOLLY LADNER,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE REALTY INVESTMENT  
CLUB OF HOUSTON, and,  
SUMMER NOLAN,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Cause No. 4:16-cv-3111

A Jury is Demanded

**PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, MOLLY LADNER, by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby brings this action against Defendants, THE REALTY INVESTMENT CLUB OF HOUSTON and SUMMER NOLAN (hereinafter sometimes referred to collectively as “Defendants”), pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (FLSA), 52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §201 *et seq* (1994 ed. And Supp. III)(“FLSA”). This is an individual action to recover unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and attorney’s fees and costs owed to Plaintiff by Defendants.

**PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff, MOLLY LADNER, was an “employee” of Defendants, as that term is defined by the FLSA. During her employment with the Defendants, the Plaintiff was individually and directly engaged in interstate commerce by

using the instrumentalities thereof (interstate mail; telephone calls; facsimiles; and, the internet), and her work was essential to Defendant's business.

2. Plaintiff resides in Harris County, Texas.
3. Defendant, THE REALTY INVESTMENT CLUB OF HOUSTON, is a mom-profit corporation formed and existing under the laws of the State of Texas and which maintains and operates a business in Harris County, Texas, and is subject to the provisions of the FLSA, because it at all relevant times engaged in interstate commerce or was part of an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce as defined by 29 U.S.C. §§203(r) and (s).
4. Defendant, SUMMER NOLAN is the Executive Director of Defendant , THE REALTY INVESTMENT CLUB OF HOUSTON, and operate it on a day-to-day basis, acting directly on behalf THE REALTY INVESTMENT CLUB OF HOUSTON as employers under 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), and are therefore is jointly and severally liable as such for the claims described in this Complaint.
5. Defendant, SUMMER NOLAN, is an individual who at all times relevant to this matter acted directly or indirectly in the interest of Defendant, THE REALTY INVESTMENT CLUB OF HOUSTON, in relationship to Plaintiff; therefore, Defendants are joint employers as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
6. Defendant, SUMMER NOLAN, (1) possessed the power to hire and fire the Plaintiff, (2) supervised and controlled employee work schedules or

conditions of employment, (3) determined the rate and method of payment, and (4) maintained employment records. Therefore, she is a statutory employer under the FLSA.

7. At all times material to this complaint, Defendants had employees subject to the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 206 in the facility where Plaintiff was employed.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

8. This Court has jurisdiction under the FLSA pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 29 U.S.C. §216(b). At all times pertinent to this Complaint, Defendant, THE REALTY INVESTMENT CLUB OF HOUSTON, was an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce, transporting materials through commerce, and conducting transactions through commerce, including the use of phones and/or cell phones, electronic mail, and the Internet. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, Defendant regularly owned and operated a business engaged in commerce or as defined by §3(r) and 3(s) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. §203(r) and 203(s). Additionally, Plaintiff was individually engaged in commerce through her use of materials purchased in interstate commerce, and through her use of the instrumentalities of interstate commerce, e.g., mails, facsimile, cellular telephone, internet and emails as part of her regular and recurring job duties.

9. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because Defendants and Plaintiff transacted business within this judicial district and the events underlying this complaint occurred within this judicial district.

**FACTS SUPPORTING RELIEF**

10. From January 4, 2016, through September 26, 2016, Defendant employed Plaintiff as an “Executive Coordinator.” Plaintiff’s duties were to conduct member sign-in, sell memberships, set up events, answer phones, and perform other administrative tasks.
11. Plaintiff’s schedule varied based on the activities the Defendants had coordinated, scheduled and planned for the week. The Plaintiff typically worked 50-55 hours per week.
12. Plaintiff was paid at the rate of \$17.00 per hours plus a 10% bonus on the memberships she sold.
13. Defendant, SUMMER NOLAN, was the Executive Director for the Defendant, THE REALTY INVESTMENT CLUB OF HOUSTON, and was responsible for hiring the Plaintiff, setting her rate of pay, controlling the terms and conditions of Plaintiff’s employment and was responsible for maintaining accurate pay and time records.
14. Defendant, at all times, maintains control of the assignment of various duties and customers to the Plaintiff.

15. During some or all of the workweeks of Plaintiff's tenure with the Defendant, Plaintiff worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
16. During the weeks of employment where Plaintiff worked more than 40 hours, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff the overtime premium required by the FLSA.
17. The Defendant did not make a good faith effort to comply with the overtime provisions contained within the FLSA.
18. At all times relevant to this case, the Defendant had knowledge of Plaintiff's regular and overtime work. Defendant approved Plaintiff's work and hours. Plaintiff's work benefitted Defendant.
19. Defendants' actions were willful and in blatant disregard for Plaintiff's federally protected rights.
20. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff under the FLSA for all unpaid wages and overtime compensation as well as for liquidated damages, attorney's fees, out of pocket expenses and costs of Court.
21. Plaintiff does not generally have access to Defendants' records and will provide additional detail after Defendants have provided records or initial disclosures have been made, if necessary. However, Defendants manipulated and changed some of Plaintiff's time entries to reflect that she worked less time than she actually worked. Further, Defendants required Plaintiff to perform tasks off the clock for which the Plaintiff was never compensated.

Whenever Plaintiff raised these issues with Defendant, SUMMER NOLAN, Ms. Nolan provided varying excuses why the time could not be paid at that time and Ms. Nolan made empty promises to the Plaintiff that she would be compensated for her work at a later time.

**CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE  
FLSA OVERTIME PROVISION**

22. Plaintiff incorporates all averments of fact into this Cause of Action for violation of the FLSA overtime provision.
23. During one or more workweeks of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff worked more than forty (40) hours.
24. The Defendants are obligated by law to pay Plaintiff at a rate of one and one-half times the regular hourly rate for each hour worked over forty (40) in any workweek.
25. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff the overtime rate for hours worked over forty (40).
26. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to actual and compensatory damages, including the amount of overtime which was not paid and which should have been paid at the proper overtime premium.
27. Plaintiff further seeks liquidated damages as Defendants' conduct is in violation of Section 7 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207.

28. Plaintiff also seeks compensation of recoverable expenses, costs of court, and reasonable and necessary attorney's fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

29. Plaintiff has retained the law firm of Ross Law, P.C. to represent her in this suit. Plaintiff has entered into a valid contract with Ross Law, P.C. and has appointed that firm and the undersigned counsel to be her sole agent, attorney-in-fact, and representative in this suit, exclusive of all other parties, including Plaintiff. To avoid tortious interference with Plaintiff's obligations to their attorneys in this suit, all communications concerning this suit must be made by Defendant and Defendant's attorneys solely to and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff's contract with and representation by Ross Law, P.C. and the undersigned attorney gives rise to a claim for reasonable and necessary attorney's fees that Plaintiff is entitled to collect from Defendant pursuant to 29 U. S. C. § 216(b).

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff, MOLLY LADNER, hereby demands a trial by jury on all claims she has asserted in this Complaint.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Plaintiff, MOLLY LADNER, prays that the Court assume jurisdiction of this cause and that Defendants be cited to appear. Plaintiff prays that the Court award the following relief, under law and equity, as applicable:

1. Judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, for all unpaid overtime wages found to be due and owing;
2. Judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, that their violations of the FLSA were willful;
3. Judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, for an amount equal to Plaintiff's unpaid overtime at the proper rate;
4. Judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, for an amount equal to the unpaid overtime compensation as liquidated damages;
5. If liquidated damages are not awarded, an award of pre-judgment interest;
6. Post-judgment interest at the applicable rate;
7. All costs, recoverable expenses and attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting these claims;
8. Leave to amend to add claims under applicable state laws, if necessary; and,
9. For such further relief as the Court deems just and equitable to which Plaintiff is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

**ROSS LAW GROUP**

/s/ Thomas H. Padgett, Jr.  
**THOMAS H. PADGETT, JR.**  
Texas Bar No. 15405420  
Fed. ID: 11554  
Attorney-in-Charge  
4809 Pine St.  
Bellaire, Texas 77401



Ph: 800-634-8042  
Ph: 512-474-7677  
Fax: 512-4745306  
[tpadgett@rosslawgroup.com](mailto:tpadgett@rosslawgroup.com)

**CHARLES L. SCALISE**

Texas Bar No. 24064621

**DANIEL B. ROSS**

Texas Bar No. 00789810

1104 San Antonio St.

Austin, Texas 78701

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**